Case 1:07-cv-08918-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (APIT)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
JOHN MURRAY AND CATHERINE MURRAY	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (	OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in add Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, b	I with an " $\square$ " if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, JOHN MURRAY AND CATHERI GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, com	NE MURRAY, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY plaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

## I. PARTIES

#### A. PLAINTIFF(S)

izen of New York residing at 171 Kingsley Avenue, Staten Island, NY 10314  (OR)  2. Alternatively, \(\sum_{}\) is the \(	zon of M		,	njured Plaintiff"), is an individu	
	zen or iv	ew fork residing at 1/1 King		11u, N 1 10514	
2. Alternatively, \(\sigma\) is the of Decedent			(OR)		
	2.	Alternatively, $\square$	is the	of Decedent	
, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of		, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of	•

Cas	se 1:07-cv-08918-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing the Injured Pla	at 171 Kingsley Avenue, Staten Island, aintiff:  SPOUSE at all relevant times I JOHN MURRAY, and brings injuries sustained by her husba	nafter the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New NY 10314-, and has the following relationship to herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the nd (his wife), Plaintiff JOHN MURRAY.
4. New York (FI	In the period from 9/11/2001 to 9/30/2 DNY) as a Lt./Fireman at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Fire Department
P	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
Location(s) (i. From on or ab	Trade Center Site  e., building, quadrant, etc.)  out _9/11/2001_ until _9/30/2001_;  y _12_ hours per day; for	The Barge  From on or about until;  Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximately The New Y From on or ab Approximately	y 8 days total.  York City Medical Examiner's Office out until, y hours per day; for y days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
Approximatel	Kills Landfill  out until;  y hours per day; for  y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
*Continue th		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	above;  ✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;  ✓ Was exposed to and absorbed or	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated ingested toxic substances and particulates on all or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	·	
	Please read this doe	umont carofully

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

#### B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	✓ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC. ☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC. ✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	✓ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i> ) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
=======================================	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	✓ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

### 

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	•
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

# Case 1:07-cv-08918-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	unded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or);   Federal Officers I;  Contested, by val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Turisd ut the	iction, (or); $\square$ Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial law:			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	<b>V</b>	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
<b>V</b>	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		<ul> <li>✓ Air Quality;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided;</li> <li>✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided</li> </ul>
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:);  ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
<b>V</b>	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: \_\_\_\_\_

#### Case 1:07-cv-08918-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

V	Cancer Injury: Colon Cancer Date of onset: 6/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	<b>V</b>	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 4/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; and Wheezing Date of onset: 4/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 6/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A.  Date of onset:  Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	<b>V</b>	Other Injury: Sleep Problems; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 4/1/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Grou	and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable ages:
<u></u>	Pain and suffering
<b>√</b>	Loss of the enjoyment of life
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
<b>▽</b>	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits  Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
<b>✓</b>	Other:  ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

 $Plaintiff(s) \ demands \ that \ all \ issues \ of \ fact \ in \ this \ case \ be \ tried \ before \ a \ properly \ empanelled \ jury.$ 

Dated: New York, New York September 28, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), John Murray and Catherine Murray

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

#### ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 28, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	JOHN MURRAY (AND WIFE, CATHERINE MURRAY),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP  Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s)  Office and Post Office Address, Telephone  115 Broadway - 12th Floor  New York, New York 10006  (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within
	is hereby admitted.  Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEA	SE TAKE NOTICE:
_	that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20  TICE OF SETTLEMENT  that an order